



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/980,768		11/15/2001	Jack M. Birnbaum	GIC-575 7511	
7	590	07/15/2004		EXAMINER	
Barry R Lipsitz				NGUYEN, VAN H	
755 Main Street Building No 8				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Monroe, CT	06468			2126 DATE MAILED: 07/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# '5 *	Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/980,768	BIRNBAUM ET AL.						
,,	Examiner	Art Unit						
	VAN H NGUYEN	2126						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 6/18/04 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims	<b>3.</b>					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	) issues which were	newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment( explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) will not be entered or b) will be rejected is provided below	$\boxtimes$ will be entered ar $\emph{w}$ or appended.	nd an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: <u>n/a</u> .								
Claim(s) objected to: <u>n/a</u> .								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26,88 and 89</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>27-87</u> .								
☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
0. Other:								
	BEST AVAILABLE CO	OPY						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments filed June 18, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In the remarks, Applicant argued that the API of Evain may be seen as being equivalent to the middleware of Applicant's claimed invention. However, Evain does not provide an additional interface between this API (middleware) and the core system software as claimed by Applicant.

Examiner respectfully traverses Applicant's remarks:

Evain (see fig.2) does show the interface between the middleware (e.g., API) and the core system software (e.g., system software). Accordingly, Evain meets the limitations as broadly claimed by Applicant.

ST. JOHN COURTENAY !!! PRIMARY EXAMINER